

A Short Study on Mary's Part in the Incarnation

Those of who hold to the heavenly origin and antiquity of the God-Man Mediator do not minimize the Incarnation or Mary's part. We simply feel that we should only go as far as the Scriptures on these issues.

Most of us have been raised in an apostate form of Christian thinking and by default we have embraced many points concerning the Incarnation that the Scriptures do not each, in my opinion. This is also true of such things as Christmas, Easter, baptism, the church, prophecy and many other subjects.

The issue *is not*...Did Mary play a vital and necessary role in bringing the Manhood of Christ into this world. *Yes, she did* and without this work of the woman then we would have no redemption. Mary's role is absolutely dispensable in the Incarnation and the resulting work of Christ in redemption. I will give a short study as to why.

The issue comes down to this, in my opinion, Did Christ Jesus in His manly form of a servant, come from one of Mary's eggs and therefore is He a earthy man standing and falling in Adam, and coming out of the dust of the earth?

OR

Did Mary shape and form the heavenly manhood of Jesus Christ into a servant by being the medium of the Power of God's forming Him into the likeness of sinful flesh while in her womb?

Is Christ an earthy man out of the dust of this earth by succession from Adam, or a heavenly man out of God the Father by generation?

I hold that Mary did *form and shape Christ into the form of sinful flesh*, yet with out sin, while He was in her womb. I do not hold that Christ came from one of Mary's natural eggs. I do not hold that Deity impregnated Mary in any way, because Christ, in His Manhood, *is not a mutant in any way*. He is not part God and part Mary in His sacred humanity, *but only totally and completely from God in His sacred Manhood*.

Paul presents that Christ has been in two forms, Phil 2: and there are other statements that also support this and further explain it to us such as Hebrews 1..

The first form is that of the Divine Equal, or His being *in the form of God*. Please note that being in the Form of God is not in His divine Being, *for God the Word is not a form of God, but God*. John 1:1-3. Please do consider this.

Secondly the Lord Jesus Christ emptied Himself, and took upon Himself no reputation, and entered into the *form of a servant*? Did God the Word empty

Himself in any way and take on another form? ***No, He did not.*** This is Christ as the God-Man Mediator.

Let us note that ***Deity does not take on forms***, but Christ in His sacred Manhood did. Deity takes on appearances and manifestations but not forms. Paul speaks of His ***two forms*** here and in Luke he took on another form while walking and talking to the two men right after His resurrection and they knew Him not.

Mary received into her womb, by the Holy Spirit's power, the original form of Christ and fashioned the heavenly and original form of Christ into a servant. She did this while Christ was in her womb. Mary's part in the incarnation was ***to form and fashion Christ***. While she was doing this within her womb, Christ made His own blood and therefore this ***blood is the blood of God***.

I wish someone would tell me how that ***dust flesh*** or ***earthy flesh can make the blood of God?***

Therefore when Christ Jesus was in Mary's womb, He laid aside for a while His form as the Divine Equal, and took on the form of a servant.

So the issue is not did Mary play an important and necessary part in the Incarnation, but what part? She formed and fashioned Christ into the likeness of sinful flesh, yet without sin.

If I am incorrect on these points, please do let me know, Ron.